

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.4

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 109/2008

WILDLIFE FIRST &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MINISTRY OF FOREST AND ENVIRONMENT &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA 5/2014, 1/2008, 6/2014, 2/2008, 7/2015, 69409/2018, 75108/2018, 75127/2018, 88926/2018)

WITH

T.C.(C) No. 3/2016 (XVI-A)

W.P.(C) No. 50/2008 (PIL-W)

(IA 1/2008 FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 53871/2018  
FOR [I/A FOR WAIVER OF COSTS FILED BY THE STATE OF KERALA] ON IA  
61560/2018  
FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 68563/2018)

T.C.(C) No. 39/2015 (XVI-A)

T.C.(C) No. 41/2015 (XVI-A)

T.C.(C) No. 59/2015 (XVI-A)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 11408-11409/2009 (XII)

T.C.(C) No. 103/2015 (XVI-A)

W.P.(C) No. 514/2006 (PIL-W)

T.C.(C) No. 132/2015 (XVI-A)

T.C.(C) No. 85/2011 (XVI-A)

T.C.(C) No. 87/2011 (XVI-A)

T.C.(C) No. 12/2018 (XVI-A)

Date : 13-02-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE

**Counsel for the parties**

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Mrs. Arunima Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. P. Parasharan, Adv.  
Mr. K. Parmeshwar, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Mr. G. S. Makkar, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. P. K. Manohar, Adv.  
Mr. Vinayak Bhandari, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar Raizada, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kamendra Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.  
Ms. Rachna Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Purnika Bhat Kak, Adv.  
Ms. Leelawati, Adv.

Mr. Devashish Bharuka, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Bharuka, Adv.  
Ms. Sarvshree, Adv.  
Mr. Justine George, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Singala, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Sarvjit Pratap Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Swati Smita Pati, Adv.  
Mr. Binod Kumar Behera, Adv.  
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Purnima Bhat Kak, Adv.  
Ms. Leelawati, Adv.

Mr. P. S. Narasimha, ASG  
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.  
Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balramdas, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.  
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Prabhakar, Adv.  
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG

Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Shailja Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. B. N. Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.  
Ms. Aparna Trivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Santanu Singh, Adv.

Mr. P. K. Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Shalinder Saini, Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balramdas, Adv.

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Tyagi, Adv.  
for M/s Venkat Palwai Law Associates

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh, Adv.  
Ms. Maibam Babina, Adv.  
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. S. K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shrish Kumar Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Rachana Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Kabir Shankar Bose, Adv.  
Mr. Rijuk Sarkar, Adv.  
Mr. Somnath Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Mudit Makhijani, Adv.  
Mr. Shikhar Garg, Adv.  
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.  
Ms. Bhuvneshwari Pathak, Adv.  
Ms. Shilpi Satyapriya Satyam, Adv.  
Mr. Varun Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, AAG, H.P.  
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Akash Varma, Adv.

Ms. Madhavi Diwan, ASG  
Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.

Mrs. Hemantika Wahī, Adv.  
Ms. Jesal Wahī, Adv.  
Ms. Vishakha, Adv.  
Ms. Parul Luthra, Adv.

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Sonkar, Adv.

Mr. Madhvi Kumar Sawant, Adv.  
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Meetali Goyal, Adv.  
Mr. A. K. Shrivastava, Adv.

Ms. Nitya Ramakrishnan, Adv.  
Ms. Anindita Poojari, Adv.  
Ms. Aarti Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Trideep Pais, Adv.  
Ms. Sanya Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Tusharika Mattoo, Adv.  
Mr. N .K. Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Ranjan Shonkar, Adv.  
Ms. Anu K. Joy, Adv.  
Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.  
Mr. Reegan S. Bel, Adv.

Ms. Deepa M. Kulkarni, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Kishore Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Madhusmita, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.  
Ms. Geetanjali, Adv.

Mr. Siddesh Kotwal, Adv.  
Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.  
Ms. Shreya Bhatnagar, Adv.  
Mr. Raghunath Sethupathy, Adv.  
Mr. Gagan Narang, Adv.  
Ms. Arshiya Ghose, Adv.  
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.  
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Prasanth Mathur, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.

Mr. S. Partha Sarathi, Adv.

Mr. S. Raja Rajeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Raj Kamal, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Benant Noor Singh, Adv.

Mr. Karan Bharihoke, Adv.

Mr. B. D. Das, Adv.

Mr. Kaushal Narayan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Siddant Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Navkiran Bolay, Adv.

Mr. A. N. S. Nadkarni, ASG

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.

Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rajyavardhan Mall, Adv.

Ms. Pragya Parijat Singh, Adv.

Mr. G. S. Makkar, Adv.

Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Vinod Bobde, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Rebello, Adv.

Ms. Richa Relhan, Adv.

Mrs. Shagun Seth, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Joseph, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Jha, AOR

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

Mr. Mohit Kumar Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Rajora, Adv.

Mr. A. K. Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Joseph Aristotle S., Adv.

Mrs. Priya Aristotle, Adv.

Mr. Shiva P., Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR  
Mr. Naresh Kumar Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Shantwanu Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.  
Mr. Satyendra Kumar Srivastav, Adv.

Ms. Madhusmita Bora, AOR  
Mr. Pawan Kishore Singh, Adv.  
Mr. B D. Vivek, Adv.  
Mr. Riju Raj Jamwal, Adv.

Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.  
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Manchanda, Adv.  
Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv.  
Ms. Dimple Nagpal, Adv.  
For PLR Chambers

Mr. Mirnal Kanthi Mondal, Adv.  
Mr. K V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.  
Mrs. G. Indira, Adv.

Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR  
Ms. Purnima Bhat, AOR

Mr. P. K. Manohar, AOR  
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, AOR  
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, AOR

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, AOR  
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, AOR  
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR  
Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR  
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, AOR  
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR  
Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR  
Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR  
Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR  
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR  
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR  
Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, AOR  
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR

Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Yadav, AOR

Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, AOR

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR

Mr. Anil K. Chopra, AOR

Ms. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Karan Bharihoke, AOR

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, AOR

Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR

Mr. Rajat Joseph, AOR

Mrs. Rachna Gupta, AOR

M/S. Plr Chambers And Co., AOR

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, AOR

Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, AOR

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, AOR

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

Mr. Kuldip Singh, AOR

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR

Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR

Mr. G. Prakash, AOR

Mr. Parijat Sinha, AOR

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, AOR

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AOR

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR

Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

RE : AFFIDAVIT OF STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

Perused the affidavit.

The affidavit indicates that the extent of land covered by rejections in respect of STs is 1,14,400 acres and 66351 claims have been rejected. But the action taken indicates that not even a single order has been complied with.

Once the orders of eviction have been passed, the eviction ought to have taken place. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Andhra Pradesh file an affidavit as to why the orders of eviction have not been carried out so far in respect of the incumbents whose claims have been rejected as per the affidavit filed on 24.04.2018 filed by Mr. Gandham Chandrudu, Director of Tribal Welfare Department. Let action be taken on or before next date.

Let the requisite affidavit be filed with necessary details and other matters mentioned in the order on or before 12.07.2019.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT OF ASSAM**

The following information has been given in the affidavit filed by the State of Assam :-

*"4. It is stated that the total number of claims belonging to*

*a) Scheduled Tribe - 74,364*

*b) Other Traditional Forest Dwellers - 19966*

*5. The following are the total number of claims rejected in the State of Assam :-*

*a) Scheduled Tribe - 22398.*

*b) Other Traditional Forest Dwellers - 5136*

*6. It is stated that total extent of land as claimed*

*a) Schedule Tribe - 10128 hectares*

*b) Other Traditional Forest Dwellers - 561.4 Hectares*

*7. It is further stated that the Divisional Forest Officer within their jurisdiction have submitted proposal to the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest & Head of the Forest Force to carry out eviction in respect of claims*

*rejected by the District Level Committee.*

*8. It is stated that steps are already taken for eviction of all the encroachers/claimants whose claims have been rejected. The total extent of area to be evicted is assessed and will be submitted within a short time of period."*

The Chief Secretary to the State of Assam is directed to file an affidavit stating whether the incumbents in respect of whom the rejection orders have been passed, have been evicted or not and if not, the reasons for the same. In case the eviction orders have attained finality, we direct the concerned authority including the Chief Secretary to ensure that the eviction is made on or before the next date of hearing.

I.A.No. 69409 of 2018 - application for waiving of the costs imposed vide order dated 18.04.2018 is rejected.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF BIHAR**

In the affidavit filed by the State of Bihar, the following facts have been mentioned in Paragraph 7 :-

*"7. That as per report submitted by the concerned District Magistrates, total claims comes to 4696. Out of that total 2976 applications are related to STs and 1720 to OTFDs (Other Traditional Forest Dwellers). The total number of claims rejected comes to 4354 out of which rejected claims of STs are 2666 and OTFDs are 1688."*

A detailed statement has been filed indicating that in some of the Districts, action is being taken for eviction and in some of the Districts, it is not treated as the persons who were found not occupying the area over which they had raised the claim.

Let detailed affidavit be filed by the Chief Secretary to the State of Bihar in respect of the number of claims settled and in the cases where claims have been rejected and have attained finality, whether eviction has been ordered and possession has been taken or not. Let full status be disclosed in the affidavit. It is further directed that the cases in which the orders have attained finality, let eviction be made forthwith. In case of non-compliance of this order, the same shall be viewed seriously.

**RE - AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**

The affidavit filed by the State of Chhattisgarh indicates that against 20095 claimants, whose claims have been rejected, have to be evicted, whereas action has been taken only against 4830 claimants of STs and OTFDs.

Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Chhattisgarh ensure, by way of an affidavit, that where the eviction orders have attained finality, whether orders are carried out. It shall also be indicated in the affidavit as to how many claims are still pending for verification. A compliance report be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF GOA**

We have perused the affidavit filed by the State of Goa. It appears that 6094 claims have been filed by STs and 4036 claims have been filed by OTFDs.

Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Goa indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to how many claims have been adjudicated. In case eviction orders have attained finality, whether those incumbents have been evicted or not. The Chief Secretary shall ensure that eviction is carried out and compliance report be submitted to this Court on or before the next date of hearing.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF GUJARAT**

The affidavit filed by the State of Gujarat indicates that 1,68,899 claims have been filed by STs and 13,970 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Let Chief Secretary to the State of Gujarat indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH**

The affidavit filed by the State of Himachal Pradesh indicates that 2131 claims have been filed by STs and 92 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Himachal indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of

the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF JHARKHAND**

The affidavit filed by the State of Jharkhand indicates that 1,07,187 claims have been filed by STs and 3569 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 27,809 claims of STs and 298 claims of OTFDs have been rejected.

Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Jharkhand indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF KARNATAKA**

The affidavit filed by the State of Karnataka indicates that 48,432 claims have been filed by STs and 2,27,014 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 35,521 claims of STs and 1,41,019 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Karnataka indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have

attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF KERALA

Let verification/re-verification process be concluded within four months from today.

The affidavit filed by the State of Kerala indicates that 39,999 claims have been filed by STs, out of which 894 have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Kerala indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

The affidavit filed by the State of Madhya Pradesh indicates that 426105 claims have been filed by STs and 153306 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 204123 claims of STs and 150664 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let Chief Secretary to the State of Madhya Pradesh state, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

The affidavit filed by the State of Maharashtra indicates that 2,54,042 claims have been filed by STs and 105681 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 13712 claims of STs and 8797 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Maharashtra indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF ODISHA**

The affidavit filed by the State of Odisha indicates that 5,73,867 claims have been filed by STs and 31,687 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 122,250 claims of STs and 26,620 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. It is stated that the rejected claims are being reviewed. Let the review process be completed within four months. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Odisha indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been

made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN

The affidavit filed by the State of Rajasthan indicates that 73,578 claims have been filed by STs and 597 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 36,492 claims of STs and 577 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Rajasthan indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU

The affidavit filed by the State of Tamil Nadu indicates that 31,821 claims have been filed by STs and 2,481 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 7,148 claims of STs and 1881 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Tamil Nadu indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

The affidavit filed by the State of Telangana indicates that 1,83,252 claims have been filed by STs. Out of the above, 82,075 claims of STs have been rejected. Let Chief Secretary to the State of Telangana indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made in spite of the order passed by this Court.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF TRIPURA**

The affidavit filed by the State of Tripura indicates that 166584 claims have been filed by STs and 33774 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 34483 claims of STs and 33774 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Tripura indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before

the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF UTTARAKHAND**

The affidavit filed by the State of Uttarakhand indicates that 90 claims have been filed by STs and 119 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 35 claims of STs and 16 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Uttarakhand indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH**

The affidavit filed by the State of Uttar Pradesh indicates that 31,846 claims have been filed by STs and 50,442 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 20494 claims of STs and 38167 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of Uttar Pradesh indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out,

as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL**

The affidavit filed by the State of West Bengal indicates that 95958 claims have been filed by STs and 36004 claims have been filed by OTFDs. Out of the above, 50288 claims of STs and 35856 claims of OTFDs have been rejected. Let the Chief Secretary to the State of West Bengal indicate, by way of an affidavit, as to why after the rejection of the claims, which have attained finality, eviction has not been made.

The Chief Secretary shall ensure that where the rejection orders have been passed, eviction will be carried out on or before the next date of hearing. In case the eviction is not carried out, as aforesaid, the matter would be viewed seriously by this Court.

**RE : AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE STATE OF MANIPUR**

The learned counsel appearing for the State of Manipur has stated that they are going to file compliance affidavit within four weeks from today. Let it be filed within four weeks.

It is directed that where the verification/reverification/review process is pending, the concerned State shall do the needful within four months from today and report be submitted to this Court.

Let Forest Survey of India (FSI) make a satellite survey and place on record the encroachment positions and also state the positions after the eviction as far as possible.

Let the requisite affidavits be filed on or before 12.07.2019.

List the matters on 24.07.2019.

**(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)**  
**COURT MASTER**

**(JAGDISH CHANDER)**  
**BRANCH OFFICER**